

**DISCLAIMER**

*This electronic version of an SCC order is for informational purposes only and is not an official document of the Commission. An official copy may be obtained from the [Clerk of the Commission, Document Control Center](#).*

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA

STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION

AT RICHMOND, JUNE 22, 1998

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA, ex rel.  
STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION

CASE NO. PUC970005

Ex Parte: To determine prices  
Bell Atlantic-Virginia, Inc. is  
authorized to charge Competitive  
Local Exchange Carriers in accordance  
with the Telecommunications Act of  
1996 and applicable State law

**ORDER GRANTING BELL ATLANTIC-VIRGINIA, INC.'S MOTION TO  
EXTEND SCHEDULE AND TO WITHDRAW COST FILING**

On May 22, 1998, the Commission entered an Order in this matter requiring Bell Atlantic-Virginia, Inc. ("BA-VA") to re-run its cost studies using the criteria and directives set out in said Order and furnish the results and accompanying work papers on loops, switching, and transport to the Commission, Staff, and all parties on or before June 8, 1998. The results and accompanying work papers relating to the re-run cost studies for all other elements are to be furnished by BA-VA to the same group listed above on or before June 22, 1998. Additionally, the Staff was ordered to determine the price of a NID using applicable inputs set forth in the Order and furnish results and accompanying work papers to the Commission and all parties on or before June 22, 1998. Comments relating to BA-VA's results, the Staff's NID price, and the appropriate cost recovery mechanism to be used to recover Interim Number Portability ("INP") costs among ILECs and CLECs are due by July 6, 1998. The Staff Report is due by July 21, 1998.

On June 8, 1998, BA-VA filed the results of its re-run loop, switching, and transport cost studies; and on June 19, 1998, BA-VA filed a Motion to Extend Schedule and To Withdraw Cost Filing. BA-VA states in its Motion that it misinterpreted the requirements of paragraph D(12) of the Commission's May 22 Order, and it has discovered that the results submitted on June 8, 1998, are inaccurate. BA-VA further states that because it has spent additional time reviewing the accuracy of the June 8 filing it has not had sufficient time to complete and thoroughly review the cost studies due June 22, 1998. Therefore, BA-VA requests that it be allowed to withdraw the inaccurate cost studies filed on June 8 and that additional time be given to complete the requirements of the Commission's May 22 Order.

After consideration, the Commission will grant BA-VA's Motion and revise the schedule set out in the Ordering paragraphs of the May 22 Order.

ACCORDINGLY, IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT:

(1) The re-run cost studies filed in this matter by BA-VA on June 8, 1998, shall be withdrawn.

(2) BA-VA shall re-run its cost studies using the criteria and directives set out in the Commission's Order of May 22, 1998, and furnish the results and accompanying work papers on all elements to the Commission, Staff, and all parties on or before July 8, 1998. BA-VA shall also furnish results and work papers in electronic form if requested by parties.

(3) The Staff shall determine the price of a NID using applicable inputs set forth in the Commission's order of May 22, 1998, and furnish the results and accompanying work papers to the Commission and all parties on or before July 8, 1998.

(4) Parties shall file an original and fifteen (15) copies of comments relating to BA-VA's results, the Staff's NID price, and the appropriate cost recovery mechanism to be used to recover

INP costs among ILECs and CLECs on or before July 31, 1998.

(5) The Staff shall evaluate the re-run cost studies and report its findings to the Commission by August 21, 1998.

THERE BEING NOTHING FURTHER to come before the Commission at this time regarding this matter, this case shall be continued generally.